

TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT

Electronic Version v1.1
 Stylesheet Version v1.1

SUBMISSION TYPE:	NEW ASSIGNMENT
NATURE OF CONVEYANCE:	CORRECTION TO RECORDS. NO ASSIGNMENTS AUTHORIZED. FRAUDULENT OWNERSHIP NAME CHANGE RECORDED.

CONVEYING PARTY DATA

Name	Formerly	Execution Date	Entity Type
Ray Ferry		11/18/2007	INDIVIDUAL: UNITED STATES

RECEIVING PARTY DATA

Name:	Ray Ferry
Composed Of:	COMPOSED OF Individual Citizen
Street Address:	6185 Magnolia Ave.
Internal Address:	#265
City:	Riverside
State/Country:	CALIFORNIA
Postal Code:	92506
Entity Type:	INDIVIDUAL: UNITED STATES

PROPERTY NUMBERS Total: 1

Property Type	Number	Word Mark
Serial Number:	75766091	FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND

CORRESPONDENCE DATA

Fax Number: (775)262-5083
Correspondence will be sent via US Mail when the fax attempt is unsuccessful.
 Phone: 775-262-5082
 Email: fm_publisher@famousmonsters.com
 Correspondent Name: Ray Ferry
 Address Line 1: 6185 Magnolia Ave.
 Address Line 2: #265
 Address Line 4: Riverside, CALIFORNIA 92506

NAME OF SUBMITTER:	Raymond Ferry
Signature:	/raymond ferry/

Date:

11/20/2007

Total Attachments: 33

source=Declaration_p1#page1.tif
source=Declaration_p2#page1.tif
source=Exhibit A_doc_100307#page1.tif
source=Exhibit B_doc_072304#page1.tif
source=Exhibit C_doc_083006#page1.tif
source=Exhibit C_doc_083006#page2.tif
source=Exhibit C_doc_083006#page3.tif
source=Exhibit C_doc_083006#page4.tif
source=Exhibit C_doc_083006#page5.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page1.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page2.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page3.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page4.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page5.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page6.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page7.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page8.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page9.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page10.tif
source=Exhibit D_doc_082907#page11.tif
source=Exhibit E#page1.tif
source=Exhibit E#page2.tif
source=Exhibit E#page3.tif
source=Exhibit E#page4.tif
source=Exhibit E#page5.tif
source=Exhibit E#page6.tif
source=Exhibit E#page7.tif
source=Exhibit E#page8.tif
source=Exhibit E#page9.tif
source=Exhibit E#page10.tif
source=Exhibit E#page11.tif
source=Exhibit E#page12.tif
source=Exhibit E#page13.tif

Raymond Ferry
6185 Magnolia Avenue, Ste. 265 * Riverside, CA 92506
Tel: 775-262-5082 * Fax: 775-262-5083
Email: fm_publisher@famousmonsters.com

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Assignment Services Branch
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-3514

November 16, 2007

DECLARATION OF RAYMOND FERRY

I hereby declare that:

1. I am the rightful owner of the trademark known as FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND, Serial Number 75766091, Registration Number 2519146
2. The trademark has been registered on the primary register with the PTO in my name since December 18, 2001 and I have continuously used the mark in interstate commerce since November, 1990.
3. I have not authorized any change of ownership or assignment of interest of any kind in the trademark to any persons or entites at any time.
4. The assignment filed with the PTO represented to be from myself to Esketores Systems LLC, aka Thomas Brackey, dated June 6 , 2000 (Reel/Frame: 2101/0567) was a fraudulent filing made by Mr. Brackey in an attempt to illegally claim assignment of the property .
5. The assignment filed represented to be from myself to David Gottlieb dated April 4, 2001 (Reel/Frame: 2420/0808) was a fraudulent filing made by Mr. Gottlieb in an attempt to illegally claim assignment of the property.
6. The assignment filed from Esketores Systems LLC to Mr. Gottlieb (Reel/Frame: 3419/0740) dated March 12, 2004 has no merit because Esketores Systems LLC did not have any interest in the property to assign.
7. The assignment filed from Mr. Gottlieb (Reel/Frame: 3637/0388) dated October 10, 2007 to Philip Kim has no merit because the interest Mr. Gottlieb assigned to Mr. Kim is the nonexistent assignment he obtained from Esketores Systems LLC. Mr. Gottlieb has no valid interest in the property to assign.
8. On October 3, 2007, the United States Bankruptcy Court acknowledged in court documents that Mr. Gottlieb did not have any clear interest in the trademark to sell or assign and that the sale of his "interests" to Mr. Kim was made "if any, as is and without warrantee of any kind" concerning the validity of the sale. Copy of the ruling is attached as Exhibit "A".
9. Mr. Kim has fraudulently altered the record of ownership fully aware that his "purchase" of Gottlieb's interest in the trademark did not entitle him to clear title.
10. Mr. Kim has falsified his sworn declarations to the PTO. The specimens for Class 016 and Class 009 Mr. Kim submitted to the PTO in support of his TEAS Section 8 and 15 submission were not made or published by him. They are my personal creations and he has stolen these images off my web site at www.famousmonsters.com and fraudulently submitted them as his own. See Exhibit E.
11. Mr. Kim has falsified his declarations to the PTO. He has not, as he swears, used the mark in interstate commerce continuously for the past five (5) years since he only obtained his disputed "interests" on August 29, 2007. Mr. Kim has falsified his declaration to the PTO by submitting the image identified as Specimen File 1 for Class 009 and declaring it to be a DVD or Video. In fact the image is of an audio CD cover for an audio CD I have made, copyrighted and been marketing in interstate commerce continuously since 1993. See Exhibit E.
12. I notified the PTO in writing of the frauds perpetrated by Mr. Brackey and Mr. Gottlieb upon first becoming aware of them on June 23, 2004. Copy of the document is attached as Exhibit "B".
13. I have notified the United States Bankruptcy Court of the frauds. Copies of the documents filed are attached as Exhibits "C" and "D".
14. I wish to make it clear that the chain of title for the above referenced registration (See paragraph 1) should not be considered altered by the incorrect assignments and that I have been and continue to be the sole owner of

TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0320

the trademark and the sole entity authorized to administer and use the mark in interstate commerce.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Raymond Ferry

Raymond Ferry

11-16-07

Date

TRADEMARK

REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0321

United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
San Fernando Valley
Judge Geraldine Mund, Presiding
Courtroom 303 Calendar

Wednesday, October 3, 2007

Hearing Room 303

10:00 am

00-19655

Ray Ferry

Chapter 7

#3.00

Buyers opposition to motion for authority (1) to sell or abandon
personal property and (2) to leave assets unadministered

Docket #: 425

Tentative Ruling:

The sale of the "Famous Monsters of Filmland" trademark was completed in court on 8/29/07 when the rights, if any, of the trustee were sold to Philip Kim for \$25,000. A day after the order approving the sale was lodged, Kim filed an objection stating that he was rescinding his offer based on an e-mail he received after the sale from a Jeff Rovin claiming that debtor didn't have any rights to the trademark (which the message states is owned by Jim Warren) and therefore, neither does the estate. This was confirmed by some due diligence conducted by Kim's attorney.

The sale clearly was for the rights, title, and interest, if any, of the trustee. Mr. Kim knew that title to the trademark was disputed and that the sale was without warranties.

Deny the motion. I will sign the order was it was presented by the trustee.

Party Information

Debtor: Ray Ferry

Atty: Charles Shamash

Trustee: David Keith Gottlieb

Atty: Daniel A Lev

Raymond Ferry

10061 Riverside Drive #852, Toluca Lake, CA 91602
Tel: 323-828-5831 * Fax 323-204-9124 * Email: rferry@famousmonsters.com

ASST. COMMR. FOR
TRADEMARKS

2004 JUN 23 P 12:15

Commissioner for Trademarks
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-3514

U.S. PATENT
AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

June 17, 2004

Dear Commissioner:

This is to formally alert you to a fraud which has been perpetrated upon the PTO.

Re: Registration number 2519146.

Registered to Raymond Ferry.

Moved to Principal Register December 18, 2001.


An examination of affidavits filed and entered with your office falsely show the above trademark as having been assigned by me to Esketores Systems, LLC, Suite 774, 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., Beverly Hills, CA 90210 on June 15, 2000, and subsequently assigned to David Gottlieb, 15233 Ventura Blvd., Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 on January 7, 2002.

No such assignment to Esketores Systems ever took place. Records show that my name was forged on back dated documents filed by Esketores' attorney and principal owner, Thomas A. Brackey (also a partner in Freund and Brackey), for the purpose of affecting theft of the mark by deception. Further, records and court transcripts show that Mr. Gottlieb, then acting as trustee for a chapter 7 bankruptcy, falsified documents with the aid of his attorneys, Sulmeyer, Kupitz and Rothman, in order to fraudulently obtain the trademark for personal gain in a back room deal with Brackey and Esketores.

Be advised that no assignment of the mark has been made by me to any other parties. I have only today become aware of the fraudulent filings with the PTO. Court records verify the mark was not assigned to Esketores Systems and was not an asset of my estate concurrent to the bankruptcy proceedings. The bankruptcy has since been dismissed and Mr. Gottlieb is no longer acting in the capacity of trustee. Legal action against Mr. Gottlieb, Mr. Brackey, Sulmeyer/Kupitz and Esketores Systems for fraud, collusion, and theft by deception is under way, and I am also seeking criminal indictments.

At this time I am and continue to be the sole party using the mark in interstate commerce. I am informed that Mr. Gottlieb is in the process of negotiating sale of the mark to an unknown third party concurrent to his "acquisition" of the mark from Mr. Brackey on or about February, 2004. I request an immediate reply to this letter regarding my rights and how I can correct the PTO records to reflect the true and accurate ownership of the mark to Raymond Ferry. I further request this letter be posted to the public records concerning my trademark. I can be reached at the above addresses and phone numbers. Your prompt assistance in this matter is appreciated.

Regards,


Ray Ferry



06-21-2004

U.S. Patent & TMO/TM Mail Rpt Dt. #77

TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0323

Ray Ferry
6185 Magnolia Blvd. #265
Riverside, CA 92506
Tel: 775-655-5265
Fax: 775-262-5083

The Honorable Judge Geraldine Mund
Central District California San Fernando Valley
Woodland Hills, CA

August 30, 2006


Re: Case No. 1:00-bk-19655-GM Ray Ferry
Hearing Scheduled 10AM August 30, 2006

Your Honor:

My name is Ray Ferry. I am the debtor in the above referenced case. I am sending you this private communique for your edification since you may not be aware of certain facts concerning this case. I only just became aware of this hearing since I have been left off the service for quite sometime. I am affectively unrepresented since my attorney of record, Charles Shamash, has previously advised me he will take no action on my behalf due to my inability to pay his fees. I had planned to attend the hearing scheduled for 10:00AM on August 30, 2006, however I have been advised not to be seen at the courthouse due to credible threats against my safety by persons with a vested interest in the outcome of these proceedings.

I do not wish to inhibit a speedy disposition of this case, however I believe Your Honor needs to be made aware that there has been a fraud perpetuated in this case since the beginning. Every motion and adversary filed by the trustee has stemmed from the first motion he brought against me claiming I filed a fraudulent bankruptcy. In fact, the trustee, in collusion with his attorney Wes Avery, the firm of Sylmar, Rothman and Kupitz and in collusion with former Judge Arthur Greenwald, submitted phony documents and committed perjury in order to fabricate a pretext to seize my property for personal gain. These parties are acting on behalf of other persons of interest to gain possession of my intellectual property to be used as part of a scheme to take over controlling interests in related "movie monsters" properties owned by a major Hollywood entertainment conglomerate. The persons of interest are supported by money obtained through illegal drug operations inside Los Angeles and San Diego counties. I am in possession of verifiable documents which irrefutably evidence the corruption which directed all of the rulings and findings of "fact" handed down while Judge Greenwald presided. I further have signed declarations that prove that the trustee coerced at least one witness to lie under oath in exchange for a more attractive payoff.

After witnessing Judge Greenwald accept without question an undated, unsigned photocopy of a document that furthermore had no names and no stated purpose on it as evidence from



TRADEMARK

REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0324

Avery that I had fraudulently transferred assets and thus should be denied discharge, I realized that this judge was either party to the fraud or grossly incompetent. I took the only legal step left and moved to convert my Chapter 7 filing to a Chapter 11. As evidenced in the transcript of that hearing, I confronted Judge Greenwald with the evidence of the fraud perpetrated by Avery and Gottlieb. Greenwald not only refused to hear the evidence, he stated on the record that he would not entertain any "slander" of the good name of his friend Mr. Avery, and that while he recognized that Congress intended my right to convert from Chapter 7 to Chapter 11 to be "absolute", he refused to extend that right to someone he deemed to be a "contemptible" person. While he claimed he had no vested interest in the case, Brian Fitipaldi, head of the Trustee Conduct Oversight agency, himself made a special trip to attend the hearing and while seated with Ackerman, defended Avery and insisted that Greenwald not permit said conversion.

At that point, fully cognizant that there would be no justice in Greenwald's domain, I contacted the FBI, The California State Attorney General, The Governor of California, The Office of the United States Trustee and The President of the United States. I received acknowledgment from the White House of the materials I forwarded and assurances the matter would be investigated.

I am aware that subsequent to making the Governor and The President aware of these developments, Judge Peterson was removed from my case and later, Judge Greenwald. While the official stories claim that both judges retired, I have reason to believe that this was a cover story in response to political concerns over the ramifications of corruption in those respective courts becoming public.

My concern is that the initial fraud from which all of this stems remains unaddressed. Without the phony fraud adversary brought by Gottlieb and Avery, the estate should have been closed 5 and a half years ago. In fact all that has happened is that Gottlieb took all of my money, all of my assets, seized and sold my home (to a shill buyer of his choosing), denied me all applicable exemptions, then had my discharge denied, kept my property and left me stripped, beaten and thrown in the street. If this is sanctioned, why bother to have a bankruptcy court? Why not just let the creditors lynch the debtor and simplify matters for everyone?

The real reason this case has been so controversial and unresolved for so long is that it was never about debt. I have been manipulated and victimized by parties seeking control of an intellectual property (the trademark) that, while as openly acknowledged by both Appelbaum and the trustee, has no cash value, is of paramount importance to the successful takeover of a multi billion dollar entertainment empire by parties they have affiliation with. Appelbaum's repeated objections to every motion not of her own hand stems from an affiliation between her client and a prominent Hollywood celebrity with known ties to organized crime. The engineer of the bankruptcy filing, Mr. Brackey, sought to sell the mark to contacts he had after I was put out of the picture. Mr. Avery actually bragged to me on one occasion in which he advised me he would consider letting me keep my home in exchange for helping him eliminate Mr. Brackey's claims, that I was insignificant in the big picture since once he obtained control of my trademark he and his firm were assured of prosecuting an eminent billion dollar forced bankruptcy case.

TRADEMARK

REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0325

I am in possession of documents which evidence that both Gottlieb and Brackey falsified documents to the PTO each to further their own agendas and which specifically refute the allegations contained in Gottlieb's adversary against Brackey. I believe Your Honor is already acquainted with the self-serving counsel and tactics Brackey employed. The fear of discovery of the mutual blunders committed by both Mr. Brackey and the trustee in furtherance of their respective agendas was why a "deal" was struck between them to avoid a damaging trial that would have included my testimony and implicated both parties.

Cognizant of the dilemma that government agencies face on both political and security levels when confronted by such abuse within the system, I have thus far withheld from making public the documents and evidence in my possession. I have gone through proper channels and relied on the integrity of officials to address this matter and implement a suitable but just resolution. However, I cannot sit back and permit my rights as a citizen of the United States to be dismissed to avoid political embarrassment. Although "findings of fact" exist in this case, surely the law allows for a court to revisit prior rulings where judicial misconduct or error exists. A close examination of the "evidence" used in the initial adversary against me will show there were no fraudulent transfers on my part and the estate has more than sufficient assets currently on account to settle its debts. The logic of the trustee spending six years on numerous adversary proceedings and incurring legal expenses many times in excess of the total estate debt and significantly over the maximum amount that could be realized from top dollar liquidation of every conceivable asset is mind-boggling and underscores an agenda of self serving interests. I trust you appreciate the gravity of the situation and will take appropriate action. I do not believe that public knowledge of the incidents that have transpired in this case would be in the best interest of the country. However, should Your Honor wish to review the evidence and specific information regarding the references made herein prior to a decision, I am willing to participate in a private meeting provided my safety can be assured. Those of us in this country who are victimized by abuse within the judicial system have no recourse but to put our faith in the prudence of those charged with defending our rights. In an age of high stakes politics, I can only trust that integrity still exists.

If you wish my participation in this hearing please call 951-329-4434 as that number will be where I can be reached today. Also, if someone can please fax to me any information on the disposition of this matter as of today's hearing I would also appreciate this.

Very truly,




Ray Ferry

6185 Magnolia Blvd. #265
Riverside, CA 92506
Tel: 775-655-5265
Fax: 775-262-5083

This facsimile may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FERNANDO VALLEY DIVISION**

In re
RAYMOND FERRY

Debtor

Case No. SV 00-19655GM

Chapter 7

**OPPOSITION TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION
TO CLOSE THE ESTATE AND SELL
OR ABANDON PERSONAL PROPERTY**


DATE: AUGUST 29, 2007
TIME: 10:00 A.M.
PLACE: COURTROOM 303
21041 BURBANK BLVD.
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367

Attached to this cover sheet is debtor Raymond Ferry's opposition to the Trustee's motion to close the estate and sell or abandon personal property.



Urgent Business

Honorable J. Mund



hearing set: 10 Am Aug 29, 2007

Raymond Ferry
6185 Magnolia Avenue #265, Riverside, CA 92506
Tel: 800-232-4654 * Fax: 775-262-5083

The Honorable Judge Geraldine Mund
Federal Bankruptcy Court
Woodland Hills, CA

August 29, 2007
Hearing: August 29, 2007
Room 303

Re: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO CLOSE THE ESTATE AND SELL OR ABANDON
PERSONAL PROPERTY 1-00-bk-19655

Your Honor,

I am the debtor in the above referenced case. I have just become aware of the Trustee's motion to finally close the estate and I strenuously object to the stipulations set forth in the trustee's Motion to Abandon Personal Property to be heard by the court on August 29, 2007. I base my objections on the persistent and calculated frauds perpetrated by the Trustee, David Gottlieb (herein after referred to as the Trustee) in collusion with the firm of Sylmar Kuptiz (here after referred to as "Sylmar", including the firm's operatives, Wes Avery and Dan Lev) acting with the complicity of former Judge Arthur Greenwald, for the purpose of stealing personal property and assets of my estate for their own personal profit. Much of the information set forth herein has been known only to certain privileged parties involved in an ongoing Federal and State investigation into the Trustee and Sylmar's activities however, Your Honor should made aware of what has actually transpired in the administration of this case and how and why the frauds were effected.

THE TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO CLOSE THE ESTATE AND LEAVE THE
TRADEMARK UNADMINISTERED SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THE
TRUSTEE HAS NO INTEREST IN THE TRADEMARK TO LIQUIDATE.

At the first exam after filing for bankruptcy, the trustee learned that my attorney, Thomas Brackey, held a mechanic's lien on my trademark in the amount of \$10,000 against fees owed for representing me. I was entitled to release the lien for payment of the \$10,000 and the property would not be sold to any other party without my having opportunity to buy it out first. That agreement was effected in January 1999 and was well outside the time frame during which the Trustee could recover the property for the estate. After the civil trial in May 2000 Brackey licensed use of the trademark to Gene Reynolds, a third party who had been renting a separate guest house on my property since 1995.

In January 2001, the Trustee held a meeting at my residence at 16328 Community Street, North Hills, CA. In attendance were the Trustee, his attorney Wes Avery, the Trustee's

real estate representative and attorney Bela Lugosi Jr., a person with a vested interest in advancing his presence in the monster memorabilia trade, who was invited to attend by the Trustee. I was advised by the trustee at that meeting that if I would agree to testify against Brackey that he had obtained his interest in the trademark by fraud, that the Trustee would be willing to allow me to keep my residence. Mr. Lugosi, whom I had known personally for several years, advised me that it would be in my best interests to do as the Trustee wanted and that he would personally assist in efforts to prevent the liquidation of my home. I advised them that I could not do that because the lien was legitimate. Shortly after, the Trustee filed adversaries against me and Reynold's alleging that his corporation was fraudulent because I had transferred business assets to Reynold's. At a Summary Judgment hearing before Judge Arthur Greenwald, the Trustee presented his evidence:

(1) a typed inventory sheet of business equipment which had no description of the purpose of the document, no names, no dates and no signatures; (2) a copy of a Lexus internet search depicting my name and business which Avery represented under oath was a copy of my business license dated in 1998 thereby proving that I had transferred use of my business to Reynolds fraudulently because the license was still good, (c) a declaration from J. Davis, a former friend of mine who had a creditor's claim in the amount of \$20,000, stating that I had numerous credit card and bank accounts that I had failed to disclose on my schedule.

Although he had just started litigation against Brackey in a bid to recover the trademark, the Trustee stipulated that he had a qualified buyer conditional that the buyer also get possession of the Community Street property to purchase a "turn key" business. Without even looking at the evidence that contradicted the trustee, Judge Greenwald summarily granted the Trustee's motion, declared Reynold's corporation null and void, voided Reynold's lease of the guest house which stood in the way of the trustee selling the residence, issued orders of eviction, ruled my bankruptcy fraudulent and denied my discharge.

In fact, (1) the document Avery swore was proof that I had transferred my business to Reynolds was a copy of my California State Sales Tax certificate which is renewed every 5 years and had last been renewed in 1998, 2 years before any legal proceeding had commenced and which I stopped using when I went out of business after the trial. Reynold's corporation was completely independent of me and had its own business licenses, (2) the business properties the trustee claimed I had fraudulently transferred to Reynolds were each itemized and listed among my assets in the original bankruptcy filing schedule but the Trustee's argument was the mere existence of the inventory list proved intent to defraud, (3) In March 2003 I received a declaration filed by J. Davis in which she recanted her earlier declaration and admitted that she had acquired the credit cards and opened bank accounts in my name without my knowledge and had fabricated her testimony that I had withheld them from the schedule at the direction of the Trustee in exchange for a speedy resolution of her creditor's claim in the amount of \$10,000. The

declaration further states that the trustee reneged on his promise to pay her and she was filing the amended declaration to avoid perjury charges stemming from the investigation into the court corruption. The investigation uncovered that Ms. Davis has a long history of credit card fraud and identity theft. To my knowledge, that second declaration has never been seen by the court.

On or about February 2002, I filed a motion to convert from Chapter 7 to Chapter 11 status. At that hearing my attorney rose to present Judge Greenwald with the evidence that the trustee and Sylmar had engaged in perjury and fraud in obtaining the Summary Judgment and fraud judgments including photographs that clearly showed that all property requested to be left at the residence were present the day I left. Judge Greenwald flat out refused to hear the evidence stating (as set forth in the transcript) that he would not tolerate any "slander" of the "good name" of his "friend, Wes Avery." Greenwald, when confronted by mt attorney about his relationship with Superior court Judge Stephen Petersen, who had presided over the Ackerman trial and was quoted on the record as adamant that nothing I said should be believed, Greenwald said he respected the opinion of his friend (Petersen) and saw no reason not to abide by his conclusions. Finally, Greenwald stated on the record that while he understood Congress' intent that a debtor's right to convert from Chapter 7 to Chapter 11 was "absolute" he refused to extend such rights to me, a person he considered to be a "despicable" character. To bolster the scam, Trustee overseer Brian Fitipaldi, (whom I had previously contacted and made aware of the conduct by the Trustee and Sylmar) made an unusual appearance, traveling all the way from Santa Barbara just to attend the hearing and add his voice in defense of the trustee.

The Trustee is fully aware of the fraud and cleverly seeks to disguise his liability by stating he will sell his interest "if any, and without warranty" in the trademark. The opposition motion, purportedly filed by Ackerman attorney Appelbaum, on August 13, 2007 states that the trademark should be sold to an Ackerman operative currently in Russia. That presently unnamed party is believed to be Brian Forbes, a former Ackerman associate who left the country after evidence of an illegal money laundering operation he ran out of Ackerman's Glendower Avenue residence surfaced in the late 1990s. While any such "sale" of the trademark to any party would result in another round of litigation between myself and the buyer, the court should review the Trustee's frauds and determine that there is in fact no interest of the trustee in the trademark to liquidate.

THE TRUSTEE'S STIPULATION TO CLOSE THE ESTATE AND LEAVE THE FINES FOR CONTEMPT UNADMINISTERED WITH LEAVE TO REOPEN THE CASE SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THE TRUSTEE AND SYLMAR FABRICATED THE CONTEMPT RULINGS IN ORDER TO COOERCE FALSE TESTIMONY FROM ME IN SUPPORT OF THEIR ADVERSARY AGAINST BRACKEY

Pursuant to the Order to Vacate the Premises, I was directed to leave certain specified personal property behind, including the "magazine disks" and subscription list. On the

day Avery showed up to take possession of the residence, he was presented with a list inventorying the personal property left behind and was asked to do a walk through to verify the items and sign a receipt. Avery flat out refused to inspect the property, refused to sign any receipt of compliance and ordered immediate vacating of the premises under threat of police intervention.

Concurrent to the Reynolds adversary, the Trustee filed an adversary against Brackey claiming he had obtained his lien of the trademark fraudulently. A few months after being evicted, the trustee again demanded my testimony against Brackey to assist him in recovering the trademark. When I again declined, the Trustee claimed that I had failed to provide the "magazine disks" and subscriber records when vacating the property and requested and received a contempt of court order against me, notwithstanding the fact that in obtaining the Summary Judgment against Reynolds, the "finding of fact" in that case was that Reynolds was the party in possession of the magazine disk and subscriber records. He also obtained contempt orders against Reynolds for good measure.

On or about March 2003, in anticipation of a hearing on the Brackey adversary, Avery contacted me and again demanded my testimony against Brackey. When I declined he threatened to elevate the charges to criminal contempt but failed to so act when he realized that I would have the opportunity under that scenario to present the testimony, witnesses and documentary evidence of the fraud perpetrated by Sylmar and the Trustee in my defense. Instead he upped the fines from \$100 per day to \$500 per day and advised me that he would drop the fines at such time as I agreed to testify as he wanted.

Concurrent with the Trustee's high pressure tactics against me and ordered to turn over all documents relating to his representation of me in the civil case, Brackey, pressured to find some means to justify his actions in his own defense, falsified a document he claimed I had signed transferring all rights to the trademark to him in compensation for the filing of my appeal of the State court verdict. I am informed and believe that several times between 2000 and 2006 Brackey has attempted to sell the trademark to various major studios, among them The Disney Company, for upwards of \$200,000. Each solicitation was declined because it is common knowledge among industry insiders how Brackey obtained his claims to the property and that the notoriety and goodwill of the trademark are intangibles which lie solely in my talents and skills.

The evidence that Brackey had a legitimate lien on the trademark and that the effective date of the lien fell outside of the time within which the Trustee might seek to recover the property exists in Brackey's testimony under oath during a previous civil "contempt" trial fabricated by Ackerman in 2002. At that hearing before Judge Petersen, Brackey testified truthfully about the lien. Later, in depositions before the Trustee, he stated that he acquired the trademark in full as payment for prosecuting my State court appeal. The Trustee focused on compelling Brackey to produce the original of that document however the original copy of that document has never been produced and a check of the records at the USPTO shows that Brackey did not file a (backdated) claim of transfer of interest on the trademark with the USPTO until 2 years after he was compelled to produce evidence

- 4 -

of the "sale" to the Trustee. However both the Trustee and Brackey failed to note that Brackey also testified under oath at the civil contempt trial that he was not charging me for the appeal other than filing and transcript expense because he felt he had not mounted an adequate defense on my behalf during the civil trial. On or about September 2004 I advised the USPTO of the fraud. Were the Trustee to peruse a trial in the adversary, Brackey faced perjury charges, and I intended to testify at Brackey's trial where the evidence of the Trustee and Sylmar's fraud in the administration of my case would have become public.

Their mutual dilemma was resolved on or about 2006, when the Trustee and Brackey reached a settlement in which the case against Brackey would be dropped in exchange for his turning his interest in the trademark over to the trustee. I was not party to those negotiations nor was I permitted to present the evidence at Brackey's sanction hearing before the Appeal's Court that would have shown the Trustee's actions and Brackey were each fraudulent and self serving.

THE PURPOSE BEHIND THE TRUSTEE AND SYLMAR'S FRAUDS IN THIS CASE ARE ROOTED IN A SCHEME TO SEIZE CONTROL OF A MULTIBILLION DOLLAR ENTERTAINMENT CONGLOMERATE

The reason for the Trustee and Sylmar's obsession and the extreme lengths they have gone to in attempting to recover the trademark and browbeat me become clear once you are aware of what their motivation actually is:

On or about May, 1995, my former business associate Forrest J. Ackerman secretly "sold" my trademark to an inside operative at [XXXXXXXXXX], believing he would be able to "star" in a proposed TV series. The operative (whom shall remain nameless at this time for security reasons) structured a deal with the studio under the trademark brand. However, aware of other mounting problems with licensed properties at the studio, the contracts contained terms that, properly manipulated, would result in a takeover of a conglomerate in a deal that was worth, literally, billions in licenses, stocks and options. Unfortunately, the insider later discovered that while Ackerman had some notoriety with the trademark, he did not actually own it. I did. With the assistance of money and high profile influences, Ackerman mounted a sham civil suit during which presiding Judge Stephen Petersen blatantly manipulated the trial (even to the points of allowing the plaintiff to enjoy private convening with the jury during the trial, permitting the Plaintiff to send personal letters and evidence not entered during the trial to be sent to the jury, and rewriting California law when instructing the jury to conform the law to the Plaintiff's case) to insure an Ackerman win.

When it became apparent in September 2000 that Petersen was beholden to influences belonging to Ackerman, I filed to move my case to Federal Bankruptcy court. At that time, I was totally unaware that Greenwald and Petersen were friends and former law partners and each was in the pocket of of the same outside influences. Sylmar, however, was already keenly aware of the scheme to seize control of a studio, and the role the

trademark played a successful move. Immediately after receiving the case, the Trustee retained Sylmar to prosecute the adversaries in order to recover the property and complete the takeover of a studio for themselves. I obtained this information directly from Wes Avery who bragged to me after the Chapter 11 conversion hearing that Sylmar and the Trustee had already been preparing and that once he "got rid of me" he was to receive the distinction of heading up the legal team that would handle the "big deal."

All of the countless oppositions that Ackerman attorney J.C. Appelbaum filed with the court during the proceedings were motivated by her drive to make the trustee award the trademark to Ackerman, while Brackey and the Trustee each had their own plans to cash in. This is the reason for the ongoing circus that has ensued in administering this case.

THE TRUSTEE CONTINUES TO MISREPRESENT THE TRUTH TO THE COURT

In his motion, the Trustee stipulates that he wishes to leave the Contempt Fines administered because he has been unable to locate me to pursue collection. In fact, on at least 2 occasions during the past 2 years, the Trustee has submitted documents and sworn testimony attesting to what he terms my "continued efforts to cloud the trademark" which clearly contain my current address and phone number. He has further submitted sworn testimony referencing my correspondence concerning his activities with the president of the United States. These letters also contained contact information. The court will note the numerous complaints filed against the Trustee by other parties for deliberately sending copies of his motions to invalid addresses in order to feign the appearance of compliance with the law while handicapping any opposition. A review of the current motion shows he served notice to me at 3 addresses he knows to be no longer valid.

THE TRUSTEE AND SYLMAR HAVE BEEN ENGAGING IN CALUCLATED DELIBERATE FRAUD FOR THEIR OWN PERSONAL PROFIT AND THE COURT SHOULD NOT REWARD THEIR CORRUPTION SIMPLY BECAUSE THE PREVIOUS COURT ISSUED CORRUPT RULINGS IN COMPLICITY WITH FRAUD

Of particular significance during the afore-detailed history of this case is that I realized at that Chapter 11 conversion hearing that Judge Greenwald was complicit in the fraud being perpetrated by the Trustee and Sylmar. On or about March 2002, I forwarded the evidence I had to the FBI, the Governor of California, the California and United States Attorneys General, and the President of the United States, among others. I am informed and believe that subsequent to the investigation both Judges Greenwald and Petersen were unceremoniously removed from the bench.

The frauds perpetrated by the Trustee and Sylmar are not confined to my case, nor are they confined to theft of property. I am informed and believe that the Sylmar organization is associated with a number of illegal activities including drugs and prostitution and has enjoyed a decades-long free reign in manipulating the Los Angeles County courts. Your Honor should be aware that following the Conversion hearing I was ordered out my residence. Several weeks later the Trustee informed the court that his

- 6 -

"buyer" turned out to be an unqualified offer. The Trustee proceeded with a sale of the residence and, despite the property having been appraised at \$210,000, turned down bids upwards of \$500,000 and manipulated the sale to a "shill" buyer of his choosing for \$350,000. I am informed and believe that the original owners of my property were associated with illegal narcotics trafficking and that the residence, which is situated immediately adjacent to Van Nuys Airport, had been used as a safe house for drug smuggling and that a stash of narcotics was hidden within the main house, unbeknownst to me at the time. The Trustee's buyer is believed associated with a former notorious drug kingpin implicated in the Wonderland killings in Los Angeles in the 1980s. I received this information from Nils Grivillius, a private investigator who worked with the Los Angeles police on the Wonderland case. Mr. Grivillius contacted and visited me several months after the eviction to investigate the trustee's peculiar obsession with the property and his connection to the suspect buyer.

I was informed by my former council, Charles Shamash, that it is well known among industry professionals that the Trustee and Sylmar have acquired numerous properties through shill buyer auctions they have administered for the court and that several are used for illegal activities including prostitution and drug safe houses. Mr. Shamash particularly noted one prominent estate in Pasadena. He further advised me that Trustee holds certain "perks" (including season box seats to the Lakers games) that should not be affordable to him given his earnings as a trustee. In an effort to dissuade me from pursuing my fight with them, he explained that no one he knew of, including local law enforcement, was keen on tackling the power base that Sylmer enjoyed. He further advised me following a slanderous interview with Avery published in the Los Angeles Times in an apparent effort to vilify me and justify his actions, that "everyone knows that Sylmar has the Times in their back pocket."

THE COURT SHOULD NOT IGNORE THE FRAUD PERPETRATED BY THE TRUSTEE AND SYLMAR BECAUSE OF CONCERN OVER POLITICAL FALLOUT

I am aware of the scurry of political activity that followed my letter to the court of October 2006, the last time the trustee tried to pull a back door sale of the trademark. When your honor posted the letter to the public record, I believed that the actions taken would clear the way for a fair and just resolution of my case. I received information from a ranking government official explaining to me that the depth of the corruption that had been uncovered required a particularly delicate handling lest a flood of law suits from other victims of the Los Angeles court corruption scandal be unleashed. I waited patiently and quietly for the California and Federal political and judicial hierarchy to effect a suitable manner of resolution. However with this final motion by the trustee, I am compelled to be speak out in this letter, the only viable forum open to me, that I can not sit back and be sacrificed for a political agenda fearful of fallout, not so much for anything that was done, but over who was aware of the corruption and failed to act or what collateral damage might occur from prosecution.

THE TRUSTEE SEEKS TO LEAVE PERSONAL PROPERTY AND CONTEMPT FINES UNADMINISTERED AT THIS TIME BECAUSE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEIZE THE TRADEMARK FOR THEIR OWN PERSONAL PROFIT HAS PASSED

Since on or about June 2007, the internal power struggle at [XXXXXXXXXX] has come to a head and numerous positions and contracts have been reassigned or terminated. The pivotal role possession of the trademark once held in manipulating a takeover is now moot. Thus, after 7 years of fraud, the Trustee seeks leave to close the estate since it's cash assets have been squandered and now the Trustee and Sylmar want the opportunity to retain the right to reopen the case and seize additional funds at a later date. This is purely a revenge tactic. Since they already obtained the fraudulent rulings from Greenwald and have now lost the leverage they hoped seizing the trademark would have provided them in a [XX] seizure, leave to reopen the case permits them to step back in at such time as my financial status might improve.

At the end of the day, my creditors are cheated, what few assets I had are stolen, I am left with a debt larger than I started with, and the Trustee and his legal cohorts walk away with the cash assets and laugh all the way to the bank. If the court condones this conduct, it becomes as complicit in the fraud as the perpetrators.

THE COURT SHOULD NOT IGNORE THE FRAUD PERPETRATED BY THE TRUSTEE AND SYLMAR BECAUSE OF CONCERN OVER RETALIATION

As I advised in my letter of October 2006, I, my companion Connie Bean, her children, as well as other parties who have provided information to the authorities investigating this matter, have been threatened and even assaulted on more than once occasion during the term of this case. The Trustee and Sylmar do not act alone and there is no limit to what actions might be taken in pursuit of their goals. Certainly this case appears fantastic but the corruption has been going on a long time and is not unique to my case. All that distinguishes this case is that I have spoken out and fought back and in doing so inadvertently interrupted a powerful organization that has long had free reign in the Los Angeles legal system. I appreciate that no Judicial authority wants to face the burden of a scandal but there has been nothing just about this case and to ignore the evidence and maintain the facade is an outrage and travesty of the judicial system.

The information disclosed herein is documented. Much of it can be verified in letters from prominent political figures as well as transcripts of the hearings. Although it is known that several court held documents have been altered after the fact, I am in possession of copies obtained before the investigations were launched when no one thought there would be any review of what had been going on. I am disclosing this information through this letter because all efforts to present it to a court have been denied and this is the only vehicle available to me.


Copies of the documentary evidence of what I have disclosed herein is available upon request to the court. As I stated earlier, I am hesitant to appear in person for fear of my

safety, however I am willing to testify by phone at the August 29, 2007 hearing and/or provide a sworn declaration.

I respectfully request that the court dismiss or permit the withdrawal of my case or close the estate. The Court should also reverse the contempt rulings, deny the Trustee any reimbursement for fees related to the retaining of Sylmar Kupitz and the unwarranted adversaries he initiated, return all personal property and funds in escrow to me so that I may satisfy my legitimate creditors since the Trustee has failed to do so and has shown no intention of ever doing so.

While I appreciate the fantastic nature of what has transpired in this case, I am hopeful the Court will be guided by the true spirit and intent of law and not by the transient interests of politics. I am interested only in getting my life back and to be free of the corrupt forces that have attempted to use me for their own sinister agendas.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ray Ferry". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

Ray Ferry.

Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Sections 8 & 15

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
REGISTRATION NUMBER	2519146
REGISTRATION DATE	12/18/2001
SERIAL NUMBER	75766091
MARK SECTION	
MARK	FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND (stylized and/or with design)
OWNER SECTION (no change)	
ATTORNEY SECTION (current)	
NAME	Nathan Gable
FIRM NAME	FREUND & BRACKEY LLP
STREET	427 N CAMDEN DRIVE
CITY	BEVERLY HILLS
STATE	CALIFORNIA
POSTAL CODE	90210
COUNTRY	United States
ATTORNEY SECTION (proposed)	
NAME	Valerie Ann Nemeth
FIRM NAME	Attorney at Law
STREET	191 Calle Magdalena, Suite 270
CITY	Encinitas
STATE	California
POSTAL CODE	92024-3750
COUNTRY	United States
PHONE	760-944-4130
FAX	760-944-3325
EMAIL	VANemeth@cs.com
AUTHORIZED TO COMMUNICATE VIA E-MAIL	Yes
GOODS AND/OR SERVICES SECTION	
INTERNATIONAL CLASS	009
FILING COVERS ALL GOODS OR SERVICES IN THIS CLASS	YES

SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION	Pre-recorded videos and dvds
INTERNATIONAL CLASS	016
FILING COVERS ALL GOODS OR SERVICES IN THIS CLASS	YES
SPECIMEN FILE NAME(S)	\\TICRS2\EXPORT14\757\660\75766091\xml1\8150003.JP G
SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION	Printed magazine
INTERNATIONAL CLASS	025
FILING COVERS ALL GOODS OR SERVICES IN THIS CLASS	YES
SPECIMEN FILE NAME(S)	\\TICRS2\EXPORT14\757\660\75766091\xml1\8150004.JP G
	\\TICRS2\EXPORT14\757\660\75766091\xml1\8150005.JP G
SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION	Shirt displaying the mark; Hat displaying the mark
PAYMENT SECTION	
NUMBER OF CLASSES	3
NUMBER OF CLASSES PAID	3
SUBTOTAL AMOUNT	900
TOTAL AMOUNT	900
SIGNATURE SECTION	
SIGNATURE	/ss/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Valerie Ann Nemeth
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	Attorney of Record
DATE SIGNED	11/14/2007
PAYMENT METHOD	CC
FILING INFORMATION	
SUBMIT DATE	Wed Nov 14 19:47:15 EST 2007
TEAS STAMP	USPTO/S08N15-69.237.189.1 94-20071114194715341526-2 519146-400b86d9964e0b1722 275d9a7e255f7be-CC-3502-2 0071114193434988770

Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Sections 8 & 15

To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

REGISTRATION NUMBER: 2519146

REGISTRATION DATE: 12/18/2001

MARK: FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND (stylized and/or with design)

The owner, KIM, PHILIP, having an address of 2871 DUSTY STONE CT., SANTA ROSA, California, United States 95405, is filing a Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Sections 8 & 15.

**TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0340**

For International Class 009, the owner, or its related company, is using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class; and, the owner, or its related company, has continuously used the mark in commerce for five (5) consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and is still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class. Also, there has been no final decision adverse to the owner's claim of ownership of such mark for those goods or services, or to the owner's right to register the same or to keep the same on the register; and, there is no proceeding involving said rights pending and not disposed of in either the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or the courts.

The owner is submitting one specimen for this class showing the mark as used in commerce on or in connection with any item in this class, consisting of a(n) Pre-recorded videos and dvds.

Specimen File1

For International Class 016, the owner, or its related company, is using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class; and, the owner, or its related company, has continuously used the mark in commerce for five (5) consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and is still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class. Also, there has been no final decision adverse to the owner's claim of ownership of such mark for those goods or services, or to the owner's right to register the same or to keep the same on the register; and, there is no proceeding involving said rights pending and not disposed of in either the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or the courts.

The owner is submitting one specimen for this class showing the mark as used in commerce on or in connection with any item in this class, consisting of a(n) Printed magazine.

Specimen File1

For International Class 025, the owner, or its related company, is using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class; and, the owner, or its related company, has continuously used the mark in commerce for five (5) consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and is still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with **all** goods or services listed in the existing registration for this class. Also, there has been no final decision adverse to the owner's claim of ownership of such mark for those goods or services, or to the owner's right to register the same or to keep the same on the register; and, there is no proceeding involving said rights pending and not disposed of in either the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or the courts.

The owner is submitting one specimen for this class showing the mark as used in commerce on or in connection with any item in this class, consisting of a(n) Shirt displaying the mark; Hat displaying the mark.

Specimen File1

Specimen File2

The registrant hereby appoints Valerie Ann Nemeth of Attorney at Law, 191 Calle Magdalena, Suite 270, Encinitas, California United States 92024-3750 to file this Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Sections 8 & 15 on behalf of the registrant.

A fee payment in the amount of \$900 will be submitted with the form, representing payment for 3 class(es), plus any additional grace period fee, if necessary.

Declaration

The owner, or its related company, is using the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods and/or services identified above, as evidenced by the attached specimen(s) showing the mark as used in commerce. The owner, or its related company, has continuously used the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods and/or services identified above, for five (5) consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and is still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with the identified goods and/or services. There has been no final decision adverse to the owner's claim of ownership of such mark for such goods and/or services, or to the owner's right to register the same or to keep the same on the register; and there is no proceeding involving said rights pending and not disposed of either in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or in the courts.

The undersigned being hereby warned that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C.

Section 1001, and that such willful false statements and the like may jeopardize the validity of this document, declares that he/she is properly authorized to execute this document on behalf of the Owner; and all statements made of his/her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Signature: /ss/ Date: 11/14/2007

Signatory's Name: Valerie Ann Nemeth

Signatory's Position: Attorney of Record

Mailing Address:

FREUND & BRACKEY LLP
427 N CAMDEN DRIVE
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90210

Mailing Address:

Attorney at Law
191 Calle Magdalena, Suite 270
Encinitas, California 92024-3750

Serial Number: 75766091

Internet Transmission Date: Wed Nov 14 19:47:15 EST 2007

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/S08N15-69.237.189.194-200711141947

15341526-2519146-400b86d9964e0b1722275d9

a7e255f7be-CC-3502-20071114193434988770

NOW - FOR THE FIRST TIME! ON THIS RECORD



FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND

A FILMLAND CLASSICS PUBLICATION

No. 246

HOW THEY MADE THE


TEEN
GOLD
PHANTOM

THE CONCLUSION OF

LORE
STORY

TOHO'S SCI-FI SPECTACULAR

THE MYSTERIANS



MORE
MONSTERS
IN THIS



TRADEMARK

REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0344



TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0345



TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0346

ROUTING SHEET TO POST REGISTRATION (PRU)

Registration Number: 2519146



Serial Number: 75766091



RAM Sale Number: 3502

RAM Accounting Date: 20071115

Total Fees: \$900

Note: Process in accordance with Post Registration Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)

<u>Transaction</u>	<u>Fee Code</u>	<u>Transaction Date</u>	<u>Fee per Class</u>	<u>Number of Classes</u>	<u>Number of Classes Paid</u>	<u>Total Fee</u>
§8 affidavit	7205	20071114	\$100	3	3	\$300
§15 affidavit	7208	20071114	\$200	3	3	\$600

Physical Location: 900 - FILE REPOSITORY (FRANCONIA)

Lost Case Flag: False

In TICRS (AM-FLG-IN-TICRS): True

Transaction Date: 20071114



NOW - FOR THE FIRST TIME! ON THIS RECORD



Journal of Famous MONSTERS

FRANKENSTEIN

DRACULA

FAMOUS MONSTERS OF FILMLAND

A FILMLAND CLASSICS PUBLICATION

No. 246

HOW THEY MADE THE


TEEN
GOLDEN
PHANTOM

THE CONCLUSION OF

LORE
STORY

TOHO'S SCI-FI SPECTACULAR

THE MYSTERIANS



MORE
MONSTERS
MAGAZINES



TRADEMARK

REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0349



TRADEMARK
REEL: 003664 FRAME: 0350

