TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT

Electronic Version v1.1 Stylesheet Version v1.1

SUBMISSION TYPE:	NEW ASSIGNMENT
NATURE OF CONVEYANCE:	Federal Court Judgment and Permanent Injunction

CONVEYING PARTY DATA

Name	Formerly	Execution Date	Entity Type
II I	FORMERLY dba Gary Ptak, LLC formerly Custom Jewelry Shop, LLC	106/01/2009	LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY: NEW YORK
Gary Ptak		06/01/2009	INDIVIDUAL: UNITED STATES

RECEIVING PARTY DATA

Name:	Ptak Bros. Jewelry, Inc.	
Street Address:	3100 47th Ave	
City:	Long Island City	
State/Country:	NEW YORK	
Postal Code:	11101	
Entity Type:	CORPORATION: NEW YORK	

PROPERTY NUMBERS Total: 3

Property Type	Number	Word Mark
Serial Number:	77329419	GARY PTAK
Serial Number:	77123751	GOOD THINGS COME IN SMALL PTAKAGES!
Serial Number:	77302576	CONSCIENCE COLLECTION

CORRESPONDENCE DATA

Fax Number: (312)782-6494

Correspondence will be sent via US Mail when the fax attempt is unsuccessful.

Phone: 312-782-6495

Email: daniel@keganlaw.com

Correspondent Name: Daniel Kegan

Address Line 1: 79W Monroe St #1320

Address Line 4: Chicago, ILLINOIS 60603-4969

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: RECORD J V GPTAKLLC,GARY

TRADEMARK
REEL: 004039 FRAME: 0622

\$90.00 77329419

900140446

NAME OF SUBMITTER:	Daniel Kegan
Signature:	/daniel kegan/
Date:	08/07/2009
Total Attachments: 4 source=Ptak-72Judgmt1Jun09#page1.tif source=Ptak-72Judgmt1Jun09#page2.tif source=Ptak-72Judgmt1Jun09#page3.tif source=Ptak-72Judgmt1Jun09#page4.tif	

TRADEMARK
REEL: 004039 FRAME: 0623

Case 1:06-cv-13732-DC Document 72 Filed 06/01/2009 Page 1-of 4

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - - - X

PTAK BROS. JEWELRY, INC.,

- against -

Plaintiff, :

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

06 Civ. 13732 (DC)

DOC #:

GARY PTAK and G. PTAK, LLC, : #_____

Defendants. :

- - - - - - - - - - - - X

CHIN, District Judge

Judgment is entered against defendants by default. For the reasons set forth in my decisions dated March 30, 2009 and June 1, 2009, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. Gary Ptak, G. Ptak, LLC, any associated jewelry business of Gary Ptak, their officers, agents, servants, employees, members, attorneys, successors and assigns, and any and all persons, firms, corporations, or other entities acting in concert and participation with them are hereby permanently enjoined from:
- a. using names, trademarks, and Internet domains confusingly similar to plaintiff's trademarks, including PTAK, PTAK BROS., PTAK BROTHERS, PTAK.com, or PTAK.[any first level domain];
- b. infringing any of plaintiff's trademark rights;
 - c. employing deceptive business practices;
- d. identifying itself, himself, or his jewelryrelated business as formerly associated with Ptak Bros., Inc. or

TRADEMARK REEL: 004039 FRAME: 0624 with a Ptak-named entity, except in a family narrative or in response to private requests for Gary Ptak's previous employment history; if reference is made to Ptak Bros. or its semantic equivalent (such as "father's company"), a disclaimer of noticeable prominence shall be provided in close proximity to such reference, such as, "The assets of Ptak Bros., Inc. were sold and transferred to a company now called Ptak Bros. Jewelry, Inc. G. Ptak, LLC has no affiliation with Ptak Bros., Inc. or Ptak Bros. Jewelry, Inc."; defendants shall not trade upon plaintiff's goodwill by seeking to capitalize on the Ptak name as if it had been transferred to, acquired by, or left to Gary Ptak to continue the tradition as part of the family inheritance;

- e. using any telephone or facsimile numbers that incorporate a component that spells PTAK when the numbers are translated into standard telephone letters, except defendants may continue to use the telephone number (800) 782-5112 for one year from the date of entry of this judgment, so long as they do not use the mnemonic PTAK in any way in relation to said number, after which defendants must cease all use of the number;
- f. except for the permitted use described in paragraph (e) above, using any communication number, including without limitation pager and instant message, that incorporates a component that spells PTAK, whether directly in letters or when numbers are translated into standard telephone numbers;
- g. using the phrase "Ptak Bros." or "Ptak Brothers" in association with marketing jewelry and related goods and services:

- h. using in marketing jewelry or the jewelry business the term "Ptak," unless as part of the names "Gary Ptak," "G. Ptak," "G. Ptak, LLC," or "Gary Ptak, LLC." The terms "Gary" (or "G") and "Ptak" shall be of similar size, style, and prominence;
- i. stating or implying that Ptak Bros.'s business has been shut down, ceased operations, relocated, liquidated, or otherwise gone out of existence;
 - j. using the term PTAKAGE or PTAKAGES; and
- k. using or displaying jewelry or images from Ptak Bros., Inc. or from Ptak Bros. Jewelry, Inc. in marketing materials.
- 2. Defendants shall conform their website, currently at www.garyptak.com, to this order within ten days hereof.
- 3. Defendants shall within ten days hereof cause the Internet domain www.ptak.com to be inactive, so that a browser directed to www.ptak.com is not automatically directed to any other website and email to (anything]@ptak.com is not delivered to any email system.
- 4. Within twenty days hereof, defendants shall take all reasonable steps to cause to be removed from public view and use, and from all materials to be distributed to the public, including information such as on websites which are known to defendants or their agents (including without limitation their vendors, wholesalers, publicists, web hosts, and blog hosts) as having information about defendant, any information that does not conform to paragraph 1.

- 5. Defendants shall certify to the Court, with a copy to plaintiff's representatives, when the provisions of paragraphs 1 to 4 have been accomplished.
- 6. Plaintiff shall recover of defendants, jointly and severally, \$363,369, consisting of profits of \$181,423, attorneys' fees of \$173,272, and costs of \$8,674, with postjudgment interest.
- 7. The Court retains jurisdiction of this action to enforce this judgment.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York June 1, 2009

RECORDED: 08/07/2009

DENNY CHIN

United States District Judge