OP \$290.00 5234648

TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT COVER SHEET

Electronic Version v1.1 Stylesheet Version v1.2 ETAS ID: TM506730

SUBMISSION TYPE: NEW ASSIGNMENT

NATURE OF CONVEYANCE: LIEN

CONVEYING PARTY DATA

Name	Formerly	Execution Date	Entity Type
CLASS WAR, INC.		01/10/2019	Corporation: CALIFORNIA

RECEIVING PARTY DATA

Name:	JACK SCHINDLER
Street Address:	501 W. BROADWAY
Internal Address:	SUITE 1770
City:	SAN DIEGO
State/Country:	CALIFORNIA
Postal Code:	92101
Entity Type:	INDIVIDUAL: UNITED STATES

PROPERTY NUMBERS Total: 11

Property Type	Number	Word Mark
Registration Number:	5234648	SKETCHY TANK
Registration Number:	5367477	SKETCHY TANK
Registration Number:	5188154	ST
Registration Number:	5334715	ST
Serial Number:	86955010	CLASS WAR
Serial Number:	86894447	ST
Serial Number:	87663348	
Registration Number:	5620376	ST LURKING CLASS
Registration Number:	5470111	ST
Serial Number:	87956621	LURKING CLASS
Registration Number:	5620383	ST

CORRESPONDENCE DATA

Fax Number: 6192370447

Correspondence will be sent to the e-mail address first; if that is unsuccessful, it will be sent

using a fax number, if provided; if that is unsuccessful, it will be sent via US Mail.

Phone: 6192371717
Email: dav@vv-law.com
Correspondent Name: DONALD VAUGHN
Address Line 1: 501 W. BROADWAY

TRADEMARK

900482310 REEL: 006531 FRAME: 0112

Address Line 2: **SUITE 1770** Address Line 4: SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 NAME OF SUBMITTER: DONALD VAUGHN **SIGNATURE:** /DAV/ **DATE SIGNED:** 01/21/2019 **Total Attachments: 9** source=Lien Attachment#page1.tif source=Lien Attachment#page2.tif source=Lien Attachment#page3.tif source=Lien Attachment#page4.tif source=CONFORMED JUDGMENT#page1.tif source=CONFORMED JUDGMENT#page2.tif source=CONFORMED JUDGMENT#page3.tif source=CONFORMED JUDGMENT#page4.tif

source=CONFORMED JUDGMENT#page5.tif

TRADEMARK REEL: 006531 FRAME: 0113

		W1-198(C1-179
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY.	STATE BAR (O.) 10070	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME DONALD A. VAUGHN, ESQ.		
FIRM GAME VAUGHN & VAUGHN		
circuit Aconesa 501 West Broadway, Suite 1770		08 05x.2x.0x.0x0933xx 0x x 0 x x 008 25x
ory SAN DIEGO	STATE CA ZP CODE 92101	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
TELEPHONE NO. 619-237-1717	PAKNO 619-237-0447	County of San Diego
EMACAGORESO dav@vv-law.com		01/09/2019 at 10:50:00 AM
ATTORNEY FOR (NAME), JACK SCHINDLER		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS 325 South Metrose Drive MALLING ADDRESS 325 South Metrose Drive CITY AND ZIP CODE VISTA, CA 92081 699ANC-NAME North County Division	FSAN INEGG	Clerk of the Superior Court By Veronica Navarro,Deputy Clerk
PLAINTIFF JACK SCHINDLER		
DEFENDANT JESSE DAWBER		
APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR AF		CASSE SUMSNIR
X ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT Debtor	ATTACHMENT (Third Person) Third Person	37-2015-00026810-CU-BT-NC
	DER TO APPEAR FOR EXAMINATI	×88
b. answer concerning property of the	cement of a money judgment against you. e judgment debtor in your posseesion or c	
Oate January 25, 2019 Time § Address of court [X] is shown above []	\$:30 a.m.	Rm.:
3. This order may be served by a sheriff, mare	shat, registered process server, or the follo	swing specially appointed person (name):
Donald A. Vaughn		/ 11/1
Date: 1/9/2019	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	C/H prose
		3003
· ·	ed not less than 10 days before the dati DRTANT NOTICES ON REVI	
APPLICATIO	N FOR ORDER TO APPEAR FOR E	XAMINATION
		who has a right to attach order
applies for an order requiring (name) Class to appear and furnish information to aid in	ss War, Inc.	
The person to be examined is X		
b. [] a third person (1) who has posse owes the judgment debtor or the Procedure section 491,110 or 70	defendant more than \$250. An affidavit su	ie judgment debtor or the defendant or (2) who poorting this application under Code of Civil
8. The person to be examined resides or has		n 150 miles of the place of examination.
7. This court is not the court in which it	he money judgment is entered or (attach/ri	
The judgment debtor has been examis attached.	nined within the past 120 days. An affidavi	t showing good cause for another examination
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law	ws of the State of California that the forego	sing is true and corpect.
Date: January 8, 2019		
DONALD A, VAUGHN, ESQ.	<u> </u>	
COVER ON PRINT MANE)	La company	(SIGNATORE OF DECLAPAN)
	(Continued on reverse)	?hage 1.0?
From Antiques for Mandatory Lise Judicial Coses For California AT-136/61a-126 (Rev. January 1, 2017)	APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR APPEARANCE AND EXAMINATION	Cooks of Civic Procedure \$5 465 (10), 766 (10), 766 (10) www.societic.co.go

APPEARANCE AND EXAMINATION (Attachment—Enforcement of Judgment)

> **TRADEMARK REEL: 006531 FRAME: 0114**

Westlaw Doc & Form Swiden

Information for Judgment Creditor Regarding Service

If you want to be able to ask the court to enforce the order on the judgment debtor or any third party, you must have a copy of the order personally served on the judgment debtor by a sheriff, marshal, registered process server, or the person appointed in item 3 of the order at least 10 calendar days before the date of the hearing, and have a proof of service filed with the court.

IMPORTANT NOTICES ABOUT THE ORDER

APPEARANCE OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR (ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT)

NOTICE TO JUDGMENT DEBTOR—If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the judgment creditor in this proceeding.

APPEARANCE OF A THIRD PERSON (ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT)

- (1) NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED—If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the judgment creditor in this proceeding.
- (2) NOTICE TO JUDGMENT DEBTOR The person in whose favor the judgment was entered in this action claims that the person to be examined under this order has possession or control of property that is yours or owes you a debt. This property or debt is as follows (describe the property or debt):

If you claim that all or any portion of this property or debt is exempt from enforcement of the money judgment, you must file your exemption claim in writing with the court and have a copy personally served on the judgment creditor not later than three days before the date set for the examination. You must appear at the time and place set for the examination to establish your claim of exemption or your exemption may be waived.

APPEARANCE OF A THIRD PERSON (ATTACHMENT)

NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the plaintiff in this proceeding.

APPEARANCE OF A CORPORATION, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, TRUST, OR OTHER ORGANIZATION

It is your duty to designate one or more of the following to appear and be examined: officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons who are familiar with your property and debts.



Request for Accommodations. Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days before your hearing. Contact the clerk's office for Request for Accommodation (form MC-410). (Civil Code, § 54.8)

AY-138/EU-135 (Rev. January 1, 2017)

APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR APPEARANCE AND EXAMINATION (Attachment—Enforcement of Judgment)

Page 2 of 3

1 2 3 4 S 6 7	Donald A. Vaughn, Esq. (Bar No. 110070) Evan J. Topol Esq. (Bar No. 274932) VAUGHN & VAUGHN 501 West Broadway, Suite 1770 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 237-1717 Fax: (619) 237-0447 Attorneys for Plaintiff JACK SCHINDLER at Cross-Defendants JACK SCHINDLER and MAJOR AWARD DISTRIBUTION, INC.	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 01/15/2019 at 09:46:00 AM Clerk of the Superior Court By E- Filing, Deputy Clerk
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NOI	RTH COUNTY REGIONAL CENTER
10	JACK SCHINDLER, an individual,	Case No. 37-2015-00026810-CU-BT-NC
11	Plaintiff.	PROOF OF SERVICE ON CLASS WAR,
12	VS.	INC. OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR APPEARANCE AND
13	JESSE DAWBER, an individual; CLASS	EXAMINATION
[4	WAR, INC., a purported California Corporation; LURKING CLASS, LLC. a	IMAGED FILE
15	purported California Limited Liability Company; SKETCHY TANK, LLC, a	Judge: Earl H. Maas, III Dept.: N-28
16	purported California Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,	Commission File I. A
17	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: August 11, 2015 Trial Date: September 7, 2018
18		
19 20	AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
21	111	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

Attorney or Party without Attorney:				For Court Use Only
VAUGHN & VAUGHN				
DONALD VAUGHN, ESQ. (1100	70)			
501 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE	1770			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101				
Telephone No: (619) 237-171	7			
Attorney For: Plaintiff		fief. No. or File No.:		
Insert name of Court, and Judicial D SAN DIEGO COUNTY SUPERIO		NTY REGIONAL CEI	NTÉR	
Plaintiff: JACK SCHINDLER,et Defendant: JESSE DAWBER, et a				
PROOF OF SERVICE	Hearing Oate: JANUARY 25, 2019	Time: 8:30 A.M.	Dept/Div: N-28	Case Number: 37-2015-00026810-CU-BT-NC

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action,
- I served copies of the Application and Order for Appearance and Examination Enforcement of Judgment Debtor to Class War, Inc.
- a. Party served: CLASS WAR, INC.
 - b. Person served: JEREMY ROSENTHAL, OFFICER, Caucasian Male , Age: 40-50 , Hair: Bald , Eyes: Brown , Height: 5'7" ,

Weight: 175

4. Address where the party was served: 1920 TUDOR LANE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90034

- 5. I served the party:
 - a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive process for the party on: Thu, Jan 10 2019 at: 07:45 AM

Recoverable cost Per CCP 1083 5(a)(4)(B)

- 6. Person Who Served Papers:
 - a. Jason Luther (2012-149069).
 - b. FIRST LEGAL INVESTIGATIONS
 2070 N. TUSTIN AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
 SANTA ANA, CA 92705
 - c. (714) 550-1375

d. The Fee for Service was:

e. Lami: a Registered California Process Server

7 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Judicial Council Form Rule 2.150(a)&(b) Rev January 1, 2007 PROOF OF

2965726 (370655)



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH COUNTY REGIONAL CENTER

JACK SCHINDLER, an individual,

Plaintiff.

VS.

JESSE DAWBER, an individual, and DOES 1-50, inclusive.

Defendants.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.

Case No. 37-2015-00026810-CU-BT-NC

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Judge: Earl H. Maas, III

Dept.: N-28

On September 12, 2018, the court commenced a jury trial in this case in Department N-28 of San Diego Superior Court, the Honorable Earl Maas III presiding. Donald A. Vaughn and Evan J. Topol of Vaughn & Vaughn represented Plaintiff and Cross Defendant Jack Schindler and Cross Defendant Major Award Distribution. Inc. Michael Turrill and Gabriel Ulman represented Defendants Jesse Dawber and Class War, Inc. and Cross Complainant Jesse Dawber. A jury of 12 persons was impaneled and sworn. The jury received evidence and heard arguments of counsel. At the conclusion of the evidence, the parties made Directed Verdict motions; the Court heard argument and ruled thereon. The jury was then instructed on the law and the cause was submitted to the jury on the following form, on which the jury gave the following responses:

TRADEMARK

REEL: 006531 FRAME: 0118

***	We, the jury,	answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
2	[For Question the appropriat	as $1-5$, select one of the two options listed and, if the first option is selected, fill in
3		On Jack Schindler's claim for Breach of Contract:
4	1.	
5		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Jesse Dawber and Class
6		War, Inc. in the sum of \$5,391,041.00.
7		We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and Class War, Inc. against Jack
8		Schindler.
9 10	2.	On Jack Schindier's claim for Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing:
11		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Jesse Dawber and Class
12		War, Inc. in the sum of \$ _0.
13		We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and Class War, Inc. against Jack
14		Schindler.
15	3.	On Jack Schindler's claim for Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations:
16 17		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Class War, Inc. in the sum
18	£.	of \$ <u>0</u> .
19		We find in favor of Class War, Inc. against Jack Schindler.
20	4.	On Jack Schindler's claim for Breach of Fiduciary Duty:
21		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Jesse Dawber in the sum of
22		\$ <u>0.</u>
23		We find in favor of Jesse Dawber against Jack
24		•
25		Schindler.
26	5.	On Jack Schindler's claim for Constructive Fraud:
27		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Jesse Dawber in the sum of
28		\$ <u>0.</u>
		~

	We find in favor of Jesse Dawber against Jack
	Schindler.
•	uestion 6 below only if you find in favor of Plaintiff on at least one of his claims in 2, 3, 4, or 5.]
6,	Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Jesse Dawber or Class War, Inc. acted with malice, oppression, or fraud?
	As to Jesse Dawber:
	X Yes
	No
	As to Class War, Inc.:
	<u>X</u> Yes
	No
[For Quest] the appropr	ions $7 - 10$, select one of the two options listed and, if the first option is selected, fill in fate sum.]
7.	On Jesse Dawber's claim for Breach of Contract:
	We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and against Jack Schindler in the sum of
	\$
	X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and against Jesse Dawber.
8.	On Jesse Dawber's claim for Breach of the Fiduciary Duty:
	X We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and against Jack Schindler in the sum of
	\$ <u>5.581.00</u> .
	We find in favor of Jack Schindler against Jesse Dawber.
9.	On Jesse Dawber's claim for Conversion:
	We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and against Jack Schindler and Major
	Award Distribution, Inc. in the sum of \$
***************************************	3
	TRADEMARK

3		
,		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and Major Award Distribution, Inc.
2		against Jesse Dawber.
3	10.	On Jesse Dawber's claim for Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair
4		Dealing:
5		We find in favor of Jesse Dawber and against Jack Schindler and Major
6		Award Distribution, Inc. in the sum of \$
7		X We find in favor of Jack Schindler and Major Award Distribution, Inc.
§ 9		against Jesse Dawber.
10	8 ~	estion 11 below only if you find in favor of Cross-Complainant on <i>at least</i> one of his estions 8, 9 or 10.]
	`	
12 13	* 1,	Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Jack Schindler or Major Award Distribution, Inc. acted with malice, oppression, or fraud towards Jesse Dawber?
14		As to Jack Schindler:
15		Yes
16		<u>XNo</u>
17		As to Major Award Distribution, Inc.:
18		Yes
19		X No
20		
21	12	ric Shirley
22	}	residing Juror
23	Dated: <u>9/</u>	<u>25/2018</u>
24	[After this v verdict in the	rerdict form has been signed, notify the bailiff that you are ready to present your
25	verdice in the	: Commonney
26	NON	V, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Jack
27	Schindler ha	ve judgment against Jesse Dawber and Class War, Inc., jointly and severally, for the
28		

War, Inc.,	in the amount of \$	<u></u>
Dated:	jan 08 2019	Corl H. Maes III
esaues.		The Honorable Earl Maas III Judge of the Superior Court
		sace of the salveou court

RECORDED: 01/21/2019

TRADEMARK

[PROPOSED] JUDGAREEL: 006531 FRAME: 0122